1889
(L.R.)

H.M. No. 20-89.
Secl. No. 29-95

Subj:- Treatment of certain Excess lands enjoyed by certain Cadirvelu Rayty as Inam and Rayty as assessed.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

~~1891~~ 1889
(L.R.)

Later references.

File No. 20-89

Serial Nos. 29-45

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 29 – 45

Major ~~Part~~ B for April 02 –

SUBJECT.

Treatment of certain Inam lands enjoyed by certain individuals partly as Inam and partly as assessed

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Received 27

After issuing the order passed on the petition of Kottamballi Ramakrishnaiah the file has to be resubmitted to the Councillor for orders on the petns of Malati Kalappa and Suryanarayana Rao.

Subd to Asst Secy.

K Elle
21/2/900.

Rc 7.0m
24/2/00

Reper
22/7/98

To
General Records for reference.



Rajaji
22/7/98 (1) Pro-ceedings Not available in the GL Records

(2) Pers No. 10168-710 25th December 92
R 1330-92
Mutation.

Rajaji
25/7/98

25/7/98

Read
26/7/98.

(1) Two Rupees Stamp affixed - Stamp Act

(2) Petr has not produced the order against which he seeks to appeal - Petn to be returned therefore.

mdy
22-7-98

Endt on petn

25/7/98

20/
ಉಪನ್ಯಾಸಕರ ಅಂಚೆಚೀಟಿ ಪಾವತಿಸಿದೆ 25/7/98

1898

No. 170

Petitioner Malali Kalappa

Village Sakleshpur

Taluk Manjarabad

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 15-7-98

Date of Receipt 19-7-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure — Seven —

ABSTRACT

The petitioner prays for amending the Title-deed no 14.158 dy 25-6-95 confirming his Minor Inams in the above village & states as follows — That in the Manjarabad Taluk there are three kinds of Pattas viz (1) Patta of Govt. land deducting the Inam portion, (2) Patta including Govt. and Inam lands, (3) Patta of Exclusive Inam land That the Survey department

the Inams in this Taluq, as
malnad Inams. But that
his Inam belongs to the 3rd
~~class~~ kind; therefore that his
Inam Patta should be amended
in accordance with the
Inam Rules relating to
the Inams of Maidan districts
consistently with the Govt. Proceedgs
no 3046-54 of 12-12-89.
That Khalsat Kandayam
is levied on his ~~land~~
Inam land. That the collection which the Taluq authorities are trying to collect from this year,
of Kandayam, may not be
enforced pending the disposal
of his appeal. That the
grounds for amending
present Inam title-deed are
(1) Proceedings no 122 of the
Representative assembly in
1890 and (2) Govt. Proceedings no
10168-71
R 1330-92 of 25-12-92. And
that to show that his Inam
~~Estate~~ contains no
Khalsat-land, he has
produced valuvari accounts and the copy of Dittam Chitta
no 56154
of Soumya year, & temporary Patta of 1st October 1880
issued in his name.

Enclosures —

1 Final title-deed no 14.158 of 25-6-98

2 Patta no 3 of 1-3-73 given to Kalappa.

3 Patta no 6 of 1-3-73 given to Kalappa.

4. Patta no 3 of Soumya year ie 1849-1850 given to one Iravasappa Savanur of Anandapura This patta the petitioner cites as an example of the 2nd kind of Inam patta.

5 Valuvari income of Malale village for Soumya year.

6 Copy of the Sagavali Dittam Chitta of Malale village for Soumya year

7 Temporary Inam title-deed of 1-10-80 given to Kalappa.

huz.
21/7/98

Reserve
28/9/98

170 of 98
3/10/98

Rev: Branch
Please put up Bro: No: 7598
& 11-2-98

87243992

AK
4/10/98

Petn Bro

R7243 of 92 (3 letters)
Containing the above No:
15 putup.

Ler No: 35

5/10.

Sd.

1/2 Rs stamp affixed - stamp duty

2/ The Bd/Comrs order complained of are dated 18th Feb 98 & 29th July 1895 - out of time by 1 month & 17 days from the date of the former & within time from the date of the latter.

6/10/98 Issue Notice

Putup.

Sd.

2nd Councillor doubts Note of the Petr

3/11/98

1894

No. 590

Name of Petitioner Malali Kalappa

Village

Taluk Sakleshapur

District Hasan

Date of Arzi 31.8.98

Date of Receipt 9.9.98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 9

ABSTRACT

Appeal against the orders of the Dep: Comrs: of Hasan, Nos: 394 and 34 dated 18.2.98 & 29.7.98 respectively issued in accordance with the Pro: of Govt: No: 7598 & 7243 dated 11.2.98:-

Order No: 399 & 18.2.98 runs thus:-

"Copy of Govt: Pro: is hereunto forwarded - the amount of Khaleat fixed by the Survey & Inam Superintendent may be recovered & credited. The Khaleat left unsettled

the receipts credited"

Order Rc: 34 of 29.7.98 to the Amildar of Ranjarabad runs thus:-

"The Survey Superintendent has in accordance with the rules, fixed the Khalsat & sent the register - the irrigation cess on the assessment of wet & garden Inam lands, ~~has been~~ has been charged, agreeably to the instructions contained therein. It is not your business to report that the same is excessive & unjust to recover irrigation cess on the amount of Jodi & Khalsat put together - It is further directed that your Subordinates should be warned not to write such reports & that you should pay particular attention to it."

The present appeal is against the above order on the following grounds:-

(1) That for the excess discovered in the minor Inams of Kumbarhandr & Kudrigaravalli dakhali villages the Survey & Inam dept authorities have charged an excess assessment of Rs-as-ps 61-12-6. But in bringing into

[illegible]

Petn Rcd 90

[illegible] force it would have been just & reasonable if the Inamdar ~~to~~ shewn ~~him~~ some concession by allowing him reasonable time to retain or relinquish any portion thereof upon a consideration of his circumstances in regard to the payment of Govt: Revenue.

as stated in the proceedings of Govt: No: 1870-8/46 of 10.6.82 and Rc: 2771-9/64 of 14.7.82.

(2) That it is unjust for the Govt to demand from the petitioner Rs 327-1-1 being the amount of ~~4 years~~ arrears of assessment from 1893 without, either the Dist or Talk authorities, informing him of the same by means of a notice beforehand.

(3) That according to the settlement of 1883, wherein it was decided that the amount should be recovered according to the old assessment the excess now demanded should be reckoned from 11.2. the date of the Govt: Pro: sub-

× The petr should have appeared before the Amildar & there kept his Inam Sanad & Takhta & Boat-pet & submitted the Inam Sanad & Statistics, & then appear before him with

Vr

(4) That in the event of demanding the full amount now claimed the same could not be realized even if the property is sold, nor the land, upon which Khalsah is now charged, is sub-divided so as to admit of an absolute relinquishment.

(5) That under the circumstances the petitioner prays that Rs 261-10-6, being the amount of 4 years assessment, maybe remitted & the balance, being the current year's demand, recovered from him as he has been enjoying the Inams from a long time ~~immemorial~~.

In regard to the settlement of Inams, the petitioner states that in Manjarabad Taluk the Inams are of three kinds viz: Inams set for the sake of convenience of the Survey authorities have treated all the 3 classes of Inams as one under the designation of Malnad Inams; that Hudugaravalli is not an Inam but Jari Bhatakanangam as can be observed from a perusal of the Extract of Encroachments for the year Soumya, temporary patta No: 56154 dt 1.10.80 in the name of the petitioner, and other records, that Kumbarkandi Village instead of being Entered in the account as Jodi is stated to be Jarikanam that in the Inam patta granted to the petitioner the Beriz of 6 Pagodas is classified in two ways viz:- 4 Pagodas as Inam & the remaining two pagodas as belonging to Govt:- that if the copy of ~~authenticated~~ the original bond, and other papers hereunto submitted by the petitioner are perused it will be evident that the Khalsah now levied is excessive and as such deserves consideration i-e a remission of 50% according to the Inam rules; that in the meeting of the Representa-

3/ Jari Bhatanangam as

held at Mysore the Subject was discussed (vide Subject no: 122) & the Govt passed orders (vide Proc: Govt: No: 10168-71 / R 1330-92 dy 25-12-1892 / 17-1-1893.

As regards the irrigation cess charges on the excess for the petitioner states that it is excessive.

Prays that with reference to the Proceedings of Govt: no: 7598 / R.T. 243-92 dy 11.2.98 & the Dy Comm's order Equitable orders may be passed.

The petitioner also states that he has preferred this appeal in accordance with Govt: Endt: no: 170 dy 30.7.98.

CR
27/1/98.

Copy of Enclosures:

1. Dy: Comm's order no: 399 dy 18/12/98
2. " do - - no: 34 dy 29.7.98
3. Statement of ~~excess~~ Encroachments for the year Sowmya
4. White copy of Kudrigaravalli for do.
5. Ad-interim extract of Minor Inams no: 58154
6. Late Dy: Supt's order no: 3 of 1860
7. copy of the sale deed of Kumbarhandi village
8. Inam Supt's order no: 652 dy 12/22/3/1882
9. Ad-interim Extract of Minor Inams no: 58160

The pet[r] claims that the excess in his Inam land should be treated under the rules of 1887 (applicable to Maidan Inams) and that the arrears of the assessment on the excess for (from 1893-1895) a levy of which was in abeyance under Dy Comm[r]'s order should be remitted.

General question as far as Inams in question were discussed by the Dy Comm[r] in his report dy. 4/10/94. This was referred to the Inam Supt/Sr

Remarks 5 50 [illegible]

RF-243-92

5 11/2/98 (S no 36 open file) But- the Inam Supdt in his repts 5 23/4/98 has not- cared 5 notice or has overlooked, 5 the elaborate report- of the Dy comm^r The question in such cases is why an Inam is considered 5 be Malnad & not- whether supposing the Inam is Malnad the rules have been properly applied The ad- interim extracts from the Inam Reg^r produced by the petit^r give the S^l extent in Kittikrishnaraj scale It- may be that these extents are merged in the S^l Lands in the possession of the petit^r in which case the Inam can only be considered 5 be Malnad

Th 3/11/98

5 90 98 El Records 10-10-98

14-10-98

Received

Please peruse the 1st para carefully & report [illegible] (10-10-98, with reference required)

15/10/98.

Received on 14/11/98

Section

File No R

Serial No

1898

No. 824

Name of Petitioner Malati Kalappa

Village Sakleshpur

Taluk Manjarabad

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 10-10-98

Date of Receipt 12-10-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 2

ABSTRACT

Reminder to his petition dated 8-9-98 praying for remission of 5 years Khalsa.

The petitioner submits for perusal 2 notices issued to him by the Taluk authority & states that they are adopting coercive measures by attaching his property

Prays that orders may be

authorities not to demand
the amount till disposal
of his appeal

12/10/98 Enclosures
2 notices d/31-8-98

(2) Dy. Comrs order not produced.
(3) Petr has produced two demand notices
d/30-8-98 & 31-8-98 issued from the
Channarayadapatna Taluk

MK
10/11/98

Dy Comm's order filed
Produced
My 11/11/98

Asst to pshp
Bbn
6/11
Asst despd
17/11/98

1898

No. 886

Name of Petitioner Sooryanarayanappa

Village Sakleshpur

Taluk Manjarabad

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 2nd 10. 98

Date of Receipt 3. 11. 98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 8

ABSTRACT

States that the petitioner and others ar
the joint Inamdars of Kurkalli and
Bilithalu, that he was told by his elder
that during the time of the late Sultan
the Inam was resumed, that in Dew
Purnaya's time a portion was con
-firmed, the rest being assessed, t
prove which an unauthenticated
Patta is herewith submitted, that the
lands belong solely to them, that in
the Survey Settlement of 1882-8
it was ordered that the usual tax
be paid till the final Settlement, that
in addition to the old Inam assess
-ment 50% of the assessment has to
added to it as is done in the Midan
-parts, that if the Survey is found
in excess such excess is to be
levied from them, that instead

has been treated as malnad inams,
and as such only 10 % of the old assess-
-ment has been added to the old Inam
assessment, that the excess found in
the Survey assessment has been
charged to them, that the Taluk
authorities have at the close of 1897-
-98 issued notices of demand for
5 years' assessment, that the Inam Dept.
has also decided similarly, that the
petitioner submits a petition for
for reference a patta marked "AB"
by him which shows the nature of the
inams and their assessment, that
the Inam rules applicable to the
Malnad tracts should be extended to
his Taluk also, that when the assess
ment fixed on the lands be ✗ more
than what the ryots do allow, the
Inamdars should be given a rea-
sonable time allowing them to resign
such parts as are not required by them,
that the assessment of the resigned
tracts be allowed to be subtracted
from the whole assessment, that
the notices served on them are contra
-ry to the Government Proceedings
No 1890—8 dated 10.6.82 and
No 2771—9/64 dated 14—7—82,
that it is highly difficult for
the Inamdar to pay the assessment
of Rs 312-10-8 in a lump, and
that the assessment of Rs 102-6-6
; the increased assessment,
[illegible] his Inam lands be

treated as those in the
Malnad parts, that the Inam be
divided into several S. Nos: at
2 acres for each number, that he
should be excused the assess-
-ment of Rs 312-10-8, that the
excess assessment, if only reckoned
from the date of his consent, that
the only be ordered to be collected,
that the authorities be
ordered not to take steps
to collect the said amount
till the matter is finally
settled.

Enclosures:-

1 Patta marked "AB" (authenticated)
3 Pattas as old as 1860. 43, & 46
2 Taluk notices of Demand 8/31.8.98
& Extracts from the register of Inams
(Final title Deeds) 8/21-6-95

H.S.G.

8/11/98.

1898

Section

No. 982

File No.

Serial No. Name of Petitioner Jambaradi Surya Narayan Bhat

Village Sakleshpur

Taluk Manjarabad

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 19. 11. 98

Date of Receipt 21. 11. 98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 2

ABSTRACT

With reference to Govt: Endt: No.
886 of 16/17 - 11-98 the petitioner
submits an authenticated co
of Hassan Dy Commr's order
to the Amildar of Manjara
399/182 of 18.2.98 which runs as
follows:-

"Copy of Govt: Proceedings, is b
with forwarded- In accordan
with the above Proceedings
Khalsat amount fixed by the
& the Survey Superintendent sh
be recovered & credited to Gov
In the same Manj
Khalsat amount which is
shown

mdl
7/12/98

886/98 Refers petn of
Ruk
5/12/98

Sd/ [illegible]
5/12/98

Plaintiff secured
on Kaleshpur
Sanction to
Caused notice
sent from
and [illegible]

Sirled.

i/ The Dy Commr's order dy 18.2.98 obtained by one Hossur Subbanna has been produced. There has been a delay of over 3 months even according to this order.

2/ Petr prays for stay of execution of the Dy Commr's order pending disposal of his appeal.

mdl
5/12/98 The order now produced is a general one not necessarily appeared in the petr's case. The appeal is practically against the Survey Supt! Calculation of the excess Rcpts have been Subs along with the appeal of Kalashpura of Manjarabad Taluk

Rob. 40Rs.
5.12.98.

Submitted dy 5/12/98

P.S. I hope to submit within a fortnight a memo on the several questions with all the pending Khalsat-files, considerable progress having been made by me in this matter. (Vide memorandum)

report no: 184/207 dt 30.8.95 is herewith returned"-

The petitioner states that Subbanna who is one of the joint Khatedars has obtained the said copy, that he is pressed to pay Rs 312 & odd being the amount of 5 years assessment for the two villages of Bilathalu & Kirihalli; & that he has submitted an appeal on 24-10-98-

Prays that orders maybe issued to the D/Commr: not to enforce payment ~~under~~ at present & that equitable orders maybe passed on his appeal petition.

9/12/98

Encls:

1. Govt: Endt: no: 886 dt 16/17/11/98
2. Authenticated copy of Hassan D/Commrs order no: 399/182 dt 18.2.98 to the Amildar of Manjarabad Taluk

//

307 dt 8.75-799 is put up.

30/11/98

No. 1031

Name of Petitioner Ramaswamiah-gar

Village Kottanahally

Taluk Manjarabad

District Hassan

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 24-11-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one Cudt

ABSTRACT

Application for review of Govt Cudt No 10925 dated 17th May 1898, declining to remit the khalsat imposed on the petrs mine Inam in Kottanahally and Hennate villages in the Manjarabad Tlq

Petr submits that his appeal was disposed of without hearing the petitioner was asked in him

him an opportunity to express his grievances and to produce the documents in his possession in re his claim for the concession prayed for; that 10 percent of the excess ~~assess~~ is not charged with extra assessment in the Malnad Talukas, while a concession of 50 percent is shewn in the case of Maidan Talukas; that the petis lands and those in the Manjarabad Talukas are not so very superior as the lands in the other Malnad Talukas, and that the petis prayer is that the concession shewn in the Maidan Talukas may be extended to the Manjarabad Talukas also.

Prays that a date may be fixed to hear the petis case and equitable orders issued

6/11/99

To Ver: Records for Notice

6/11/99 10/11/99 ... 20-10-94 ... 1038 ... 28-11-94 ... Rcd at 5-55 P.M. 24-11-99

To Rev Br. for compliance.

Gt Records. Please put up R.T. 243 of 92.

24/11/99

There is a slip board in place of R.T. 243 of 92 Sirstadar's Revenue Branch to be removed and the same putup with R.T. 75 of 92 & Sub2 to the 2nd Councillor on 17-2-96 with Genl Nos 2489 of 95-96.

28/11/99. 27/11/99

The 2nd Councillor has passed order Vide S/O No 10923-4 dt 20/5/98 RT-243-92.

The Rev Branch Will put up

29/11/99

Petn Branch

For the above Pro: to please see Petn Pro: 590 dt 31.8.98 from Malati Kalappa, for which the file was sent on 5.10.98. No 824 of 98.

30/11 X Sub 2 to 2nd Councillor on 29-11-98 with the above file. Councillor to look into Sub with subsequent petn no 1031

30/11/99 mg

1899

No. 875

Name of Petitioner Ramaiswamy

Village Kottanahalli

Taluk Manjarabad

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 25—10—99

Date of Receipt 28—10—99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT

Reminder to his previous petn complaining against the levy of Khalsa & other petis Inam lands, from the year 1894-95, as per order issued to him in 1898

Petn submits that no orders have been as yet passed on his prayer for remission of Khalsa from ~~1894-95 to 1897-98~~ 1894-95 to 1897-98 and prays for issue of early orders in the matter, and for stay of coercive measures to recover the same pending disposal of his petn

1899-1900.

No. 1624.

Name of Petitioner Malati Kalappa

Village Sakleshpur.

Taluk Mangalore.

District Hassan.

Date of Arzi 16-2-900.

Date of Receipt 19-2-900.

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

ABSTRACT

Reminder to his original appeal petn dy 8-9-98 and subsequent ones dy 10-10-98, 17-4-99 & 18-12-99 and states that he has not been informed, as yet, of the stage in which his appeal petn is lying now.

Prays that his case may be disposed of soon; & that the Lower Court may be ordered, not to be carried out till the disposal of this case

Refee

23.2.00

1200 of 99 Scbd to 2nd Councillor in Court on 28-12-99 on R.# 243 of 99.

2nd 23/2/00.

Subd in Contemplation

may 24.2.00

This has twice been rep: Place this in the file by 2/3/00

R.# 2043/12 endg mutn in 1612 dy 24-2-900 and Lr to the 22 Councillor on 24-2-900

5/3/900

Since rep: please file

6/3/00

Rep: put up

9/3/900

Subd

This may be filed as orders have been issued subsequent to the date of this petn and cert: pet: to

Contempt Fee 2/3/00

The Govt. contends that assessments untertained have accumulated which have accrued due are enforced and are collected as arrears. 2 that the Sepoyland situations of Mr. Lewis have been explained in his petn. he should withdraw his petn. & that he should be satisfied with the orders passed on his petn. & that he should withdraw his petn. & that he should be satisfied with the orders passed on his petn. & that he should withdraw his petn. & that he should be satisfied with the orders passed on his petn.

Mr. Lewis

21.2.00

Mr. Lewis

Mr. Lewis

Mr. Lewis

Rs. 1624
19-2-900

One anna Stamp affixed

బలము నన్నిం చూ వర్షమూ ల్నాడి రుక్కొళ్ దంపులూ డివుం
చేలాం బలగు వర్షమూ ల్నాడపం తో అల్ప కోకొండి నాబి కొల్లడ
అడిలల్బ్ వర్షక్కొ బగ్బ్ గొడ ద్రుక్కొం తోం డిన్ బి కొ-కొ బరదు
కొన్నం తే అజ్ఞ —— పల్లకి కాళ్ళబ్బెయ్యడమూ ——

189 ౯.౧౦౦.



From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To

The Deputy Commr
Hassan Dt.

Dated 24th

Despatched

Received Feby/900

General No. 6820 6182.
P. No. 812

Enclosure No. 3

Sir

I am forwarding herewith the petition noted in the margin, received from Ramasamingar of Kattenhally in the Manjarabad Taluk, praying for review of Govt order No 10923-4 / R-R-243-92 dated 17-5-1898, and have the honor to observe that the order in question refers to only 2 Survey Nos of Kattenhalli and 22 of Hennali, while the petn refers to four

-lieu

1) No (4) 1031 dated received on 24-11-99
2) " 875 dated 25-10-99
with one enclosure.

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—20-7-99.—602.

to request that he will be so good as to submit a statement shewing the past enjoyment, details of Inam Settlement extent and value of the Inam now conferred and the past and present assessment including both Khalsa and Kaser Jasti, that is to say, what the pets or his predecessors in title were paying before the Inam and Survey Settlement and the present demand.

2. The Dy Coms is further requested to submit all the documents bearing on the subject, as early as possible.

3. The petr also complains that the past

past year's assessments which have accumulated are attempted to be collected at once and that the petitioner had no intimation of these excesses having been entered in his Patta. A detailed reports should be submitted on these points also. It is observed that the Dy Coms should use his discretion in preventing all possible hardships in such matters. If any sales have been advertised they may be held in abeyance in view to the Dy Coms considering whether

be given to the petitioner for payment.

4. The petns and their enclosure should be returned.

By order

C2
21.2.00

for Secretary

23.2.00.

dispd with 2/pet Encls
C/L
24/2

1899.900. 7-3-1900

Section R K
File No 1087
Serial No 78

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To

The Deputy Commr
Hassan Dist

Dated 7.2
Despatched 7. Marpoo.
Received

General No. 6339.P
P. No. 839

Enclosure No.

In forwarding

1) Nos 590 and 824 dated 31.8.98 and 10.10.98 respectively with eleven enclosures

2) Nos 886 and 982 dated 26.10.98 and 19.11.98 respectively with ten enclosures.

to be obliged if returned

herewith the petns noted in the margin, presented by Malali Kalappa and Sooryanarayanappa respectively of Saldespur in the Manjarabad Taluk, has the honor to request submission of a statement in each case, shewing the past enjoyment, details of Inam settlement, extent and value of the Inam now enjoyed and the past

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—20-7-99.—602.

including both Kchalsat and Kaserjasti, that is to say, what the petrs or their predecessors in title were paying before the Inam and Survey settlement and the present demand. The documents bearing upon the subject should also be submitted

2. Both the petrs complain that sufficient time was not given them to decide whether they were to retain or relinquish the excess discovered, and that no intimation had been given them of the fact that the excesses of the past 5 years sought to be recovered now, had been entered in their pattas. A detailed report should be submitted on the above as well as on each of the other points raised in the petns, together with the entire records of the two cases in question.

3. If any sales have been advertised they may be held in abeyance in view of the pendency of the petns before Govt considering whether time may not be given to the petrs for payment.

By order

for Secretary

Govt
25.2.00

Govt
774
RD
25/7/01
224

Despatch to 1st Committee in Govt

24/7/01

FROM The Deputy Commissioner Hassan District.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Please put up a clear note

Dated 16th General & Rev: Dept

Despatched 16 } Jn 1901.

Received

General No. 35

No.

Enclosure No. 2 & 1 file of Ver. papers.

657
17.7.01.
Oct 28.
BANK OF MYSORE

Govt
Brought up

Govt to 1st Committee with Govt
Extracts 24/11/01

Rd. 4/11/01
912(a)

In reference to Govt. No. 6182 dated 24th February 1900.

Submitting report on the petition from Kattanahalli Ramaswamiengar regarding the levy of Kalsat on his Inam lands &c.

Petn
177.1√

R.F. 243-92 relating to the subject was subd to 1st Committee on 27.6.01 vide letter No. 726 of 3.6.01 (Ch. No. 8226/1847) from D.C. Hassan.

18/7. Subd 18.7.01

V. 35
Rec 26-VII

Deputy Commissioner's office
Hassan District.
Dated, Hassan, 16th July 1901.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To,

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue Department
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 6182-8812 Dated 24th February 1900 and subsequent reminders requesting report on the complaint petition of Kottanahalli Ramaswamie Iyengar regarding the levy of Khalsah on his Inam lands, I have the honor to submit herewith two statements A and B received from the Sub-Division officer Sakleshpur as called for in the 1st para of the docket under reply.

2. ——— All the available documents bearing on the subject produced by Ramaswamie Iyengar are filed in the Vernacular records herewith submitted. Ramaswamie Iyengar is reported to possesses no other document than these.

3. ——— An order No. 451-724 dated 11th March 1898 was issued from this office in pursuance of Survey Superintendent's settlement that the Khalsah Amount should be recognised as a "Revenue Demand" and that steps should be taken to recover the same. The order was accordingly carried out and the Khalsah amount from 1893-94 in which year the Khalsah register was received in the Taluk, was brought under the head "Demand" in 1897-98 and notice of the same was issued to the Inamdar under para 1 of Rule XXXV of the Mysore Land Revenue Rules.

4. ——— On the representation of Ramaswamie Iyengar that he was unable to pay at once the five years demand, it was ordered that the arrears should be recovered in three yearly instalments - Ramaswamie Iyengar paid the first instalment in the beginning of 1899.

5. ——— As per instructions conveyed in the concluding portion of the docket under advertence, orders have been issued to hold in abeyance any sale that might have been advertised. In fact, no sale of any property of Ramaswamie Iyengar has been resorted to as yet for the recovery of the arrears in question. I may state in passing that the time given to Ramaswamie Iyengar for clearing off the arrears has already expired and the petitioner withholds payment pending the disposal of his appeal to the Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

D'Cruz
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Statement B.

15 Survey numbers mentioned in Ramaiengar's petition No. 1031 & 24-11-99

village	Particulars	S No.	Extent		Assessment		Remarks
Hothanahalli	Obtained on private sale	25	6	36	17	.. ..	This no: is not mentioned in Govt Progs: Dt 18th may 1898; & is not in petitioner's Khathe, but stands in the name of Hadal Appannashetty.
	Inherited from Chakravarti Vedantachar (Petitioner's father)	* 9	7	7	18	.. ..	Is in petitioner's Khathe & is mentioned in Govt Progs: No. 10923-4 & R.7.243-92 dt 18th may 1898
	— Ditto —	7 pops	4	34	14	8 ..	This stands in the name of Appanna Shetty not mentioned in the said Govt Progs:
	Bilalahally Survey Serial Nos had been given prior to this — dakhila Bilalahally village being attached to Hothanahally; but when included in the latter final Nos of Hothanahally village were allotted to the previous Bilalahalli Survey numbers.	25	Bilalahally village				Included in Hothanahally village & is in the Khathe of Sallesapur Venkabhatte & others; & not in petitioner's Khathe; not mentioned in the previous Govt: Progs: S.No. 56
		20	7	27	24	.. ..	S.No. 61 Is borne on Igoor Krishna Char's Kathe; not in Chadchan in petitioner's Khathe; not mentioned in Govt Progs:
		21	2	26	8	.. ..	S.No. 62 Is entered in Ramaiengar's Khathe since; not mentioned in Govt Progs:
		17	1	39	6	8 ..	S.No. 48 Stands in Ranjamma's name; not in petitioner's Khathe; not mentioned in Govt Progs:
		26	9	15	29	.. ..	" 57 Is borne on Ranjamma's Khathe, not in petitioner's Khathe not mentioned in Govt Progs:
Hothanahalli		72	1	38	6	.. ..	Stands in the Khathe of Rajamitachar & others, not borne on petitioner's Khathe not mentioned in Govt Progs.
		90	1	13	5	.. ..	Do Do
		91	1	39	6	.. ..	Do Do
	* 22	22	6	36	16	.. ..	Is included in petitioner's Khathe & mentioned in Govt Progs.
		7	7	4	22	8 ..	Of what village not known. Do. Not corresponding to any S.Nos. Of villages in which the petitioner has Inam lands, not mentioned in the Govt Progs.
	These numbers are Wrongly shown in the petition as belonging to Hothanahalli village and were acquired by petitioner by purchase.	150	2	3	7	8 ..	Is in the Khathe of Rajamma, here Linganchar & others. Is not borne in petitioners Khathe; not mentioned in the previous Govt Progs.
		164	..	35	2	12 ..	Do Do

14. Survey Numbers mentioned in Govt. Progs. No. 10923-4 / R.7.243-92 dated 18th May 1898.

Village	S.No.	Assessment	Remarks
Hothanahalli	6	5 8 ..	Khathe stands in petitioner's name but is a Sircar No. not mentioned in the present petition.
⊕	9	18	Is included in his Khathe (Inam No.) & is shown in the petition
	11 Part	2 8 ..	Is registered in his name but is a Sircar No. not mentioned in the present petition.
	14	7	Do Do Do
	15	2	Do Do Do
	19 Part	7	Do Do Do allotted
	22	13	This No has been photoed equally and separate S.No. 31 ^ and is also entered in the present accounts — both — Sircar Numbers.
	24	12	Stands in petitioner's name, Sircar No. not shown in the present petition.
	26 Part	4	Do Do Do
	27 Part	.. 8 ..	A Settlement effected was not consented to the entire No. has been included in Gomal with it's assessment struck off & is now a Gomal S.Number.
	28 Part	4 8 ..	Is entered in petitioner's Khathe. Sircar No. not mentioned in the present petition.
Hothanahalli	22	16	Stands in petitioner's Khathe, Inam No. and is mentioned in his petition.
	23	4 8 ..	Is borne on his Khathe. Sircar Number not shown in his present petition.
	25	31	Do Do Do

It seems that the said 14 Numbers have been mentioned in Government Proceedings as per report in 1898 from the Inam Superintendent based on Inam and Survey Settlement accounts.

"(English translation)"

29-6-1901

D M W Wetherall

Sub Divisional Officer

* Particulars regarding S.Nos 9 & 22 shown in Statement A.

⊕ Note.— Survey Nos. 9 & 22 above are the only two Inam numbers the list and particulars of which are shown

As per Khalsatt Register													Total amount due to Government						Details of amounts								
Enjoyment as per Quitrent Register						Inam confirmed				Government																	
S. No.	Extent		assessment			Extent		assessment			Extent		assessment			Quitrent			Total			Due to Govt. prior to Inam Settlement			Subsequent to Survey Settlement & prior to enforcement of Khalsatt Rules			Subsequent to enforcement of Khalsatt rules.		
9	7	7	18	-	-	5	31	14	8	-	1	16	3	8	-	1	12	-	1	12	-	3	-	11	48	8	-	52	-	-
													Reservoir																	
1	7	7	18	-	-	5	31	14	8	-	1	16	3	8	-	1	12	-	1	12	-	3	-	11	48	8	-	52	-	-
22	6	36	16	-	-	6	36	14	8	-	-	20	1	8	-	1	12	-	1	12	-	17	7	5	37	4	-	38	12	-
22	6	36	16	-	-	6	36	14	8	-	-	20	1	8	-	1	12	-	1	12	-	17	7	5	37	4	-	38	12	-

29.11.1901

P. W. Fellerall
Sub Division Officer

As per Survey Settlement.

Survey No:	Total					Inam					Government				
	Extent		Assessment			Extent		Assessment			Extent		assessment		
18	19		20			21		22			23		24		
6	-	28	5	8	"						"	28	5	8	"
9	7	7	18	"	"	7	7	18	"	"	"	28	"	"	"
11 Part	"	37	2	8	"						"	37	2	8	"
14	2	12	7	"	"						2	12	7	"	"
15 Part	"	31	2	"	"						"	31	2	"	"
19	2	29	7	"	"						2	29	7	"	"
22	6	37	13	"	"						6	37	13	"	"
24 Part	"	12	"	12	"						"	12	"	12	"
26	1	22	4	"	"						1	22	4	"	"
27 Part	1	24	"	8	"						1	24	"	8	"
28 Part	1	22	4	8	"						1	22	4	8	"
11	26	21	64	12	"	7	7	18	"	"	19	14	46	12	"
22	6	36	16	"	"	6	36	16	"	"					
23	1	6	4	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	6	4	8	"
25	10	17	31	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	17	31	"	"
2	18	19	51	8	"	6	36	16	"	"	11	23	35	8	"

Name of Village	Name of Khaledar	As per accounts available previous to Inam Settlement.																					
		No.	Total						Inam						Government						Area to be =		
			Extent			Assessment			Extent			Assessment			Extent			Assessment					
			Kandaga	Kolaga	Mana	As	As	P	Kandaga	Kolaga	Mana	As	As	P	Kandaga	Kolaga	Mana	As	As	P			
1	2	3	4				5		6			7			8			9					
Kollambally	Ramaiengar, bin Chakravarthi, and Rangammah Nom Venkat Narsimha Char	7	1	7	12	16	2	5	1	2	8	13	1	6	-	5	4	3	0	11			
		9																					
		10																					
		11																					
		14																					
		15																					
		18																					
		20																					
		21																					
		19																					
		37																					
		34																					
		31																					
		32																					
		14	1	7	12	16	2	5	1	2	8	13	1	6	-	5	4	3	-	11			
Kollambally	1 Chakravarthi.	116	-	3	12	2	2	10															
	2. Raghavachar (dead) Son Ramaiengar	117	1	7	8	4	5	10															
	3. Narsimha Char	118	-	1	14	1	1	6															
	4. Sreenivasachar	115	-	7	8	4	5	10															
		114	-	1	14	1	1	6															
		113	-	1	14	1	1	6															
		112	-	2	13	1	10	2	1	2	8	13	1	6	1	10	-	17	7	5			
		108	-	7	8	4	5	10															
		109	-	7	8	4	5	10															
		110	-	2	13	1	10	2															
		111	-	7	8	4	5	10															
		11	2	12	8	30	8	11	1	2	8	13	1	6	1	10	-	17	7	5			

Remarks

Note - The above two Khathes now stand in the Sole name of Ramaengar alias Ramadesmanger Since Survey Settlement.

* Discrepancy between major accounts and cannot be explained by means of in the Taluk

Rec'd 27/8/01

Rec'd 20-8-01

Rec'd 29.9.01

Rec'd 4/11/01 9126

2700 1901 1902

27-8-01189 Pet 88.

FROM D. Devaraj Urs Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esqre
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Dpt. of Rev.
Dated 22nd General & Rev. Departts.

Despatched 24 August 1901.

Received

General No. 117.

No.

Enclosure No. 1 & Petn

Secretary's Docket No.

6339 Dated 5th March 1900,
P&L 839 calling for report on the petitions of Malali Kalappa and Suryanarayanappa of Sakleshpur, regarding the levy of Kalsat on their Inam lands.

With reference to the above, I have the honor to submit herewith the statement called for by Government.

All the documents bearing on the subject produced by the petitioners are

are filed in the Vernacular records herewith submitted.

2 — As per particulars specified in para 3 of this office No: 35 Dated 16th Jn 1901, relating to Kothana-halli Ramaswamiengar's Complaint) necessary steps were taken to bring the arrears due from these Inamdars since the establishment of the Kalsat — under the head "Demand" and notices of the same were duly served on them. Irrigation Cess has also been charged on the Kasaru Jodi. Three points are raised by the petitioners: —

I. There is no justification for the levy of Kalsat amount from 1893-94 but it ought to be levied from the date of Government Proceedings in 1898.

II. 50 per cent of the excess dis-covered should be added to their Inams as in the case of Inadan Inams

III Irrigation Cess imposed on Kasaru Jodi is not proper.

As regards the first point Kalsat has been imposed from 1893-94 in which year the Kalsat Register was received in the Taluk. —

As regards the second point though the petitioners contend that their Inams are land Inams like the Inadan Inams, a reference since to this office letter No: 249 Dated 4th October 1894 addressed to the Chief Secretary to Government by Mr. P. N. Punnaiya will shew that these Inams are not distinguishable from Inadad Inams for reasons stated therein. It is therefore a point which has to be examined and decided by Inam Superintendent. —

As for the third point — raised in the petition, Irrigation

Cess

Cess has been charged on the Inam lands of the petitioners on the strength of Survey Guarantee Rule XVII and Government Proceedings Nos. 100-9/39 and 678/R.T. 133-92 Dated 12th May 1885 and 5th October 1893 respectively which require a separate levy of Irrigation Cess in addition to the Jodi or Sist rent leviable on such Inam lands.

3 — As in the case of Kothandapalli Ramaswamiengar, the petitioners were given time to pay up the arrears in three yearly instalments and the Amildar reports that Coercive steps for collection of the arrears have been held in abeyance as directed in Secretary's Docket under advertance.—

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

lif

Statement Shewing the particulars of Khalsa imposed on In

Hobli	Village	Name of Inam Dar	No.	Prior to Inam settlem						Deduct			
				Total									
				Extent			assessment			Extent			a
				K	K	P	Ro	ab	P	K	K	P	
Sakleshpura Hobli	Kaldimagade	Hosakote Narnappa	5	1	-	-	4	5	10				
	Dalkhale	Kenchamma now	6	1	-	-	4	5	10	3	-	-	
	Kembeer Hondi	Inamdar Kalappa	7	1	-	-	4	5	10				
	..		8	1	-	-	4	5	10				
				4	-	-	17	7	4				
	Basavanahalli	Seeneevasa Char	9	-	15	-	8	11	8	-	15	-	
	Dalkhale	now Kalappa											
	Kirehalli	Sunnarnappa & others	-	4	-	-	17	7	4	3	-	-	
Subramanya Hobli	Hadihalli Dal-le Bilatalu	Kolur Puttaiya Sunnarnappa & others		10	-	-	15 = Ro 43	- ab 10	- P 4	6	-	-	

Kulappa

am. lands of Malali ... and fum. lands Suryan annappa of Manj...

COVERNMENT

enb												As per inam Settlement											
l Inam			Balance in Govern: ment						Amount re-: coverable to Government				Total						Deduct Inam				
Assessment			Extent			Assessment						No	Extent			Assessment			Extent			Assess.: ment	
Rs	A	P	K	K	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P		K	K	P	Rs	A	P	K	K	P	Rs	A
												5	-	7	8	4	5	10					
13	1	6	1	-	-	4	5	10	4	5	10	6	-	7	8	4	5	10	4	18	12	13	1
												7	-	7	8	4	5	10					
												8	-	7	8	4	5	10					
													2	10	-	17	7	4					
8	11	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	4	15	-	8	11	8	4	15	-	8	11
13	1	6	1	-	-	4	5	10	4	5	10		1	10	-	17	7	4	-	18	12	13	1
Varahs						Varahs																	
9	-	-	4	-	-	6	-																
Rs	A	P				Rs	A	P				1-2-3-4											
26	3	-				17	7	4	17	7	4	5-6-7-8											
												9-10-11											
												12-13	4	2	8	43	10	4	1	17	8	26	
												14-16											
												and 17											

Balance Under Assessment												As per Survey Settlement																			
Extent			Assessment			Quit rent			Total			Survey No.	Total area				Deduct Inam						Recoverable to Government							
													Extent in acres		Assessment		Extent		Assessment		Extent		Assessment		Assessment		Quit rent		Total		
			Ro	A	P	Ro	A	P	Ro	A	P		Acres	Cents																		
												42	10	16	37	-	-															
6	-	7	8	4	5	10	1	12	-	6	1	10	43	9	13	29	-	-	-	-	13	1	6	-	-	5	2	14	6	4	5	10	1	12	-	6	1	10	4
													19	29	66	-	-															
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	21/60	2	38	14	-	-	2	38	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-		
6	-	7	8	4	5	10	1	12	-	6	1	10	95	15	-	54	-	-	-	-	13	1	6	-	-	40	14	6	4	5	10	1	12	-	6	1	10	
												129/Part	-	34	2	12	-															
												136	1	39	7	-	-															
=	2	5	-	17	7	4	3	8	-	20	15	4	139	9	20	34	-	-	-	-	26	3	-	—	—	40	9	-	17	4	4	3	8	-	20	12	8	
													140	6	15	23	-	-															
														18	28	66	12	-														

As per Khalsat imposed.

No.	Total Extent		Total Assessment			Deduct Inam Extent		Deduct Inam Assessment			Balance under Government Extent		Balance under Government Assessment			Quit rent			Total			Recoverable arrears by increase of Khalsat.		
42	10	16	37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	16	37	-	-	1	12	-	53	4	-	246	7	3
43	9	13	29	-	-	5	11	14	8	-	4	2	14	8	-									
	19	29	66	-	-	5	11	14	8	-	14	18	51	8	-									
21/60	2	38	14	-	-	2	3	9	8	-	-	35	4	8	-	1	-	-	5	8	-	1	-	-
75	15	-	54	-	-	2	34	10	4	-	12	6	43	12	-									
86	1	20	4	4	-	1	20	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	12	-	45	8	-	175	4	11
	16	20	58	4	-	4	14	14	8	-	12	6	43	12	-									
129	-	34	2	12	-	-	34	2	12	-	-	-	-	-	-									
136	1	39	7	-	-	1	39	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
139	9	20	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	20	34	-	-									
140	6	15	23	-	-	5	14	19	4	-	1	-	3	12	-	3	8	-	41	4	-	35	10	5
	18	28	66	12	-	8	7	29	-	-	10	21	37	12	-									

W. H. Wellerall

In ch. Deputy Commissioner

Vasoan Dt.

31 - 8 - 98 ...

...

...

R. No.
Postal No.

మంథం చాండ్రకాళ్ళు కొత్తి
నడుళ్ళ గ్రామ గళ్ళు యిలువ
రం మగిల్లమి అయింగాత్రికే
ఇళ్ళి చుక్కుం.

నన్ని కొత్తినడుళ్ళ మత్తు
డొన్నిలో గ్రామగళ్ళు లువ
ని మ్మ భాంచ్చు ఇనాంగళ్ళన్నే
వివచ్చే మాడి ఇంలో గింగో
ధ్ఖాలో మాడి కొళ్ళ ఇనాం
మీవరిగుండే ఓరవరళ్ళ
మన్నశిలాయుం భగుల్ యిళ్ళు
యిళ్ళం దని మిగే కొళ్ళుం
ఇళ్ళి రాళ్ళ మనుళ్ళ పైనకివి మళ్ళే
మాడ బళ్ళకీందు వళ్ళగే నినువ
రు జూరిగే గుజిరం యిసిద
24-11-99నే మత్తు ళ్ళమి
నఅచీన్ యిన్న వీరంబరిగ
లాం యిశే —

రంలో నీ ఆమేచారిసి తూంకి
యినిమ్మ యినాంగళ్ళన్నే
వివచ్చే మాడి యిం లాంకాళ్ళ
త్తే యిూగి, ఈ బాంబనళ్ళ వ్వేవే
శిగలు యిూవకారనా వుసెంరగ్
మంబినవరిగే కీందు బంలవది
ల్లా — ఆదరే మాల్గొంశీరిజిన్నే
రీతి యింరాంద లాంగాం యిశే

Proc. of the Govt. of Mysore

Read:-

Petition no. 1031 of 1899-1900 from Ramaswamy Iyengar of Kottanhally Manjerabad Taluk praying for reconsideration of the orders of Govt. conveyed in Lr. No. 10925 dated 17th May 1898, dismissing his appeal against the Petrs. Inamdars in the Kottanhally and confirming the allocation made by the Inam Supdt. in the case of the Petrs minor Inamdars Kottanhally and Hennegi village.

R.T. 243-92

2. Letter no. 35 dated 16th July 1901 from the Dy. Commr. Hassan reporting on the above Order thereon:-

That Govt. be pleased to revise their orders passed in the

ಗಿನ ಅಂಕಿಗಳು 1893-94 ನೇ ಯಿಂದ 1897-98 ನೇ ಯಿಂದ ವರೆಗೆ ನಿಂಮಿಂದ ಬರಬೇಕಾದ ಖಾಲ್ಸಾಗಿ ಮೊಬಲಗಿನ ಬಾಂಡಿಯ ಶ್ಯಾರಿಮಿಷಿಲ್ ಮಾಡುವಂತೆ ಡಿ.ಸಿ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದಿಯಿರುತ್ತೆ ಕಿವಿಯಿಯವದು. ಆದರೆ ಮಂಜಾವಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಬರಲಾ 1-4-02 ರಿಂದ ಜಪ್ತಿಯಾಗಿರಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

By order

Pet 1888 & 4.4.02 Encl V. file

(5)

Proc. of the Govt. of Mysore

Read:-

Petitions Nos: 590 and 886 of 1898-99 from Malati Kalappa and Timanaranappa of Sakleshpur, appealing against the Dy. Commrs. order, passed in accordance with G.O. No. 7598 dated 1st Dec. 1898 directing that effect may be given to the allocation of the Petitioners' inams.

2. Letter No. 117 dated 22nd August 1901, from the Dy. Commr. Hassan Dt. reporting on the above & submg. the records of the case.

Order thereon:-

Govt. decline to review their orders already passed in the matter, but consider ing the hardship in recovering the accumulated arrears of Kalsat from 1892-93 however for

1893-94 and when the Kalsat register was received in May 1898 when Govt. directed that the Settlement of arrears by the Inam Commr. be given effect to, they are pleased to direct that these arrears be remitted & the subsequent arrears ~~from 1894-95 to 1897-98~~ will be recovered in the usual course

declining to stay the collection of the assessment on the lands.

G

for Secretary.

To

The Dy. Commr. Hassan Dt.

returning the Ver. papers

2/3/02

LR 20-89
L 25

Rec'd 4-4-02 Encl. to file
1883 of 4/5

The excess in the minor inams of the appellts has been allocated under the ~~Act~~ Rule and

When the Kalpat report was received Dy 1898 when Govt decided that the allocation must be given effect to, they are pleased to direct that these arrears may be remitted.

G Forsey.

To
The Dy. Commr Hazara
&c. &c. &c. The V. poker.

2nd
2/3/02

& enforce

2. In consideration the hardship in bringing the arre[ars] down 1893-94, when the Khalsa. [re]port was received, & [Feb] 1898 when Govt. finally ordered that the allocation of excess [ju]risdiction between the Imam Dept. & the Govt. must be given effect to, the Govt are pleased to direct that the arrears be remitted.

3. The outstanding arrears will be recovered in